

APR 20 2007

Application No.: 10/784,375
Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006
Reply dated: April 20, 2007

Remarks

This Reply is in response to the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006. A Petition for Extension of Time is submitted herewith, together with the appropriate fee. No fee is due for the addition of new claims.

I. Summary of Examiner's Rejections

Prior to the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006, Claims 1-28 were pending in the Application. In the Office Action, the Drawings and the Specification were objected to for various informalities. Claims 1-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, as being indefinite. Claims 1-14 and 16-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by a Microsoft Windows Operating System embedded with a standard word editor, and user programs within the computer system.

II. Summary of Applicant's Amendment

The present Reply cancels Claims 1-28; and adds new Claims 29-49, leaving for the Examiner's present consideration Claims 29-49.

III. Drawings

In the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006, the Drawings were objected to for various informalities. Accordingly, a set of Replacement Drawing Sheets for Figures 1 to 3 are enclosed herewith. Subject to the approval of the Examiner, Applicant respectfully requests that the Replacement Drawing Sheets be included in the Application and replace those originally filed. Applicant respectfully submits that the proposed amendments correct informalities in the drawings, and that no new matter is being added.

IV. Specification

In the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006, the Specification was objected to for various informalities. Accordingly, the Specification has been amended as shown above. Applicant

respectfully submits that the proposed amendments correct informalities in the Specification and that no new matter is being added.

V. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

In the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006, Claims 1-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, Claims 1-28 have been canceled, and replaced with new Claims as shown above. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as amended now conform to the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

VI. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

In the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006, Claims 1-14 and 16-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Accordingly, Claims 1-14 and 16-28 have been canceled, and replaced with new Claims as shown above. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as amended now conform to the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested.

VII. Claims Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

In the Office Action mailed November 20, 2006, Claims 1-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by a Microsoft Windows Operating System embedded with a standard word editor, and user programs within the computer system.

Claims 1-28 have been canceled, rendering moot the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Claims 29-49 have been newly added by the present Reply. Applicant respectfully submits that new Claims 29-49 are neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references, and consideration thereof is respectfully requested.

APR 20 2007

Application No.: 10/784,375
Reply to Office Action dated: November 20, 2006
Reply dated: April 20, 2007

VIII. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and reconsideration thereof is respectfully requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

Enclosed is a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for extending the time to respond up to and including April 20, 2007.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 20, 2007

By: 

Karl Kenna
Reg. No. 45,445

Customer No.: 23910
FLIESLER MEYER LLP
650 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108
Telephone: (415) 362-3800

- 11 -

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01389us1
M:\kfk\Wp\beas\1350-1399\1389us1\OA_Reply_112006.wpd